Pain relief in a neuropathy patient by lacosamide: Proof of principle of clinical translation from patient-specific iPSC cell-derived nociceptors
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ABSTRACT

Background: Small fiber neuropathy (SFN) is a severe and disabling chronic pain syndrome with no causal and limited symptomatic treatment options. Mechanistically based individual treatment is not available. We report an in-vitro predicted individualized treatment success in one therapy-refractory Caucasian patient suffering from SFN for over ten years.

Methods: Intrinsic excitability of human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived nociceptors from this patient and respective controls were recorded on multi-electrode (MEA) arrays, in the presence and absence of lacosamide. The patient’s pain ratings were assessed by a visual analogue scale (10: worst pain, 0: no pain) and treatment effect was objectified by microneurography recordings of the patient’s single nerve C-fibers.

Findings: We identified patient-specific changes in iPSC-derived nociceptor excitability in MEA recordings, which were reverted by the FDA-approved compound lacosamide in vitro. Using this drug for individualized treatment of this patient, the patient’s pain ratings decreased from 7.5 to 1.5. Consistent with the pain relief reported by the patient, microneurography recordings of the patient’s single nerve fibers mirrored a reduced spontaneous nociceptor (C-fiber) activity in the patient during lacosamide treatment. Microneurography recordings yielded an objective measurement of altered peripheral nociceptor activity following treatment.

Interpretation: Thus, we are here presenting one example of successful patient specific precision medicine using iPSC technology and individualized therapeutic treatment based on patient-derived sensory neurons.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Chronic neuropathic pain associated with small fiber neuropathy (SFN) often manifests with intense burning pain in the peripheral limbs, and is accompanied by elevated temperature detection thresholds and often by a decrease of epidermal nerve fiber density as seen in histological stainings of skin biopsies. It was shown by microneurography that a significantly larger proportion of nociceptive C-fibers in the peripheral nerves of patients with high ongoing pain levels are spontaneously active compared to neuropathy patients without continuous pain. This spontaneous activity was suggested to be a major contributor to neuropathic pain [1], [2]. In recent years SFN was associated with mutations and variants of genes coding for peripheral ion channels, but the vast majority of cases are sporadic [3], [4]. It is almost impossible to gain access to sensory neurons of the patients during lifetime to perform in-depth electrophysiology. Thus, we and others apply the method of fibroblast reprogramming to generate induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and to obtain patients’ sensory neurons derived thereof (Fig. 1, [5]). This human in vitro model is suited both for the analysis of monogenic and multifactorial disorders.

Despite major efforts, pain management of these patients is challenging, and pharmacological treatment algorithms frequently fail. Treatment of pain in SFN is still unsatisfactory due to limited effectivity and side effects, e.g. dizziness of centrally acting drugs such as antiepileptics. Lacosamide is such an FDA approved antiepileptic drug acting on sodium channels. It was tested in clinical trials for treatment of diabetic neuropathic pain but was not approved for this indication. However, lacosamide was shown to specifically interfere with the function of the peripheral sodium channels, such as Nav1.7 [6,7], thus it is considered to be a good candidate for treatment of neuropathic pain and is currently studied in a clinical trial on SFN patients with mutations in Nav 1.7 [8]. Whether it may be a candidate for treatment of sporadic SFN has not been tested yet.

2. Methods

2.1. Genetic evaluation

Fibroblasts of the patient suffering from SFN were cultured from a skin biopsy of the patient and two age matched controls (Ctrl1 and Ctrl2) after written informed consent (Review Board approvals Nr. 4120 UKER, Germany and Nr. 2012/2297 South East, Norway). Whole-exome Sequencing was performed with DNA from fibroblast cells. Enrichment was done with an Illumina Enrichment Kit (Nextera Rapid Capture Exome v1.2) and the respective libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq500 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, USA). Alignment and variant calling was performed with SeqMule (v1.2), (FastQC (version: 0.11.2), BWA-MEM (version: 0.7.8-r455), SAMtools (rmdup; version: 0.1.19-44428cd), SAMtools (filter; version: 0.1.19-44428cd), SAMtools (index; version: 0.1.19-44428cd), and GATK (realign; version: 2.3-9-gdcdcbcb). Genome version hg19 was used for the alignment. Three variant callers were applied for variant detection (GATK UnifiedGenotyper (variant; version: 2.3-9-gdcdcbcb), SAMtools (mpileup; version: 0.1.19-44428cd), FreeBayes (version: 0.9.14-14-g00b7b35)). Variants called by at least two programs were considered for further analysis. The resulting variant files were combined (GATK, v3.6, CombineVariants) and processed with KGGSeq (v1.0, 14/Apr./2017).

In the SFN patient we identified a variant in the sodium channel Nav1.9 (p.N1169S) which is also frequently found in control cohorts and a variant in Nav1.8 (p.R923H), but none in Nav1.7. We show that the Nav1.8 variant does not affect channel function in a heterologous expression system (Supplemental Fig. S1).

2.2. iPSC-derived nociceptors

Fibroblasts were reprogrammed retrovirally using the Yamanaka factors [9], differentiated into nociceptors using a small molecule protocol [5,10] and matured for at least 30 days. iPSC-derived neurons were tested for peripheral neuron markers (peripherin, TRPV1 and Tuj1, see Fig. 2). Unique identifier for iPSC lines are for the patient: UKERi313-R1; and controls: UKERiO3H-R1-001 and UKERi82A-R1-001.

List of Primers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCN1A (hNAV1.1)</td>
<td>for: GAAAGACCGCCTGCTCGGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN2A (hNAV1.2)</td>
<td>rev: TTCAATCTCCAGACCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN3A (hNAV1.3)</td>
<td>rev: GAGGCAAGGAAAAGATCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN4A (hNAV1.4)</td>
<td>rev: TGCTTCCTAAATGGTGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN5A (hNAV1.5)</td>
<td>rev: CGTTGTCATGAGTCTCGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN6A (hNAV1.8)</td>
<td>rev: AAAGTTGTCAGCAGGTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN9A (hNAV1.7)</td>
<td>rev: GGTATGCTGCCCATGTGCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN10A (hNAV1.8)</td>
<td>for: TGCGGCTGCTGCTATACATTAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN11A (hNAV1.9)</td>
<td>for: 5'-GAATCTGCTACTCTGCTCTGTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hNR1K1</td>
<td>for: CAGAGGATCCACGAGGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPV1</td>
<td>rev: GGAAGTGGTGTTGCTGCAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>for: 5'-GGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRT1</td>
<td>for: 5'-CCGGCCGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2M</td>
<td>for: 5'-TTCCGCTACTCTGCTCAGTTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for: 5'-GGCTGAGTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for: 5'-GGAAGTGAGTGCTGCTGCTGCT-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Patch-clamp electrophysiology

Whole-cell recordings were performed with a HEKA EPC-10USB amplifier (HEKA electronics). Pipette potential was zeroed prior to seal
formation and capacitive transients were compensated using C-fast for pipette-capacitance correction and subsequently C-slow for cell-capacitance compensation (PatchMaster, HEKA electronics). The series resistance was compensated by about 50%. Sampling rate and filter frequency were 20–100 kHz and 10 kHz, respectively.

The external solution contained (in mM): 140 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES and 20 d-Glucose (pH 7.4) and glass pipettes (1.5–3.0 MΩ) were loaded with internal solution (in mM): 4 NaCl, 135 K-glucosanate, 3 MgCl₂, 5 EGTA, 5 HEPES, 2 Na₂-ATP and 0.3 Na₂-GTP (pH 7.25).

The resting membrane potential and spontaneous action potentials (APs) were determined directly after gaining access to the cell after seal formation and after achieving the whole-cell configuration without current injection. Electrical activity was recorded, and firing neurons were classified as spontaneously active. Afterwards the membrane potential was set to −70 ± 2 mV. APs were elicited either by 500 ms current injections, increasing in 10 pA increments, or by ramp current injections, continuously increasing from 0 pA to 500 pA within 400 ms. Silent neurons were classified as inactive, whereas firing neurons were classified as active. The AP threshold was defined by the membrane potential turning point of the current trace before the AP (minimum of derivative). Upon stimulation >90% of the neurons showed APs.

2.4. Multielectrode array recordings

Experiments were performed using a Maestro, 768 channel, multiwell MEA system (Axion BioSystems). Cells were plated and recorded on 12- or 48-well plates, with each well containing an array of 64 or 16 embedded platinum or gold electrodes, respectively (12-well: 30 μm diameter, 200 μm center-to-center spacing; 48-well: 40–50 μm diameter, 350 μm center-to-center spacing) with integrated ground electrodes for a total of 768 channels (Axion BioSystems). The day before plating, MEA wells were coated with a 0.75 mg/ml solution of poly-d-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich). 60,000 cells per well were plated and a consistent cell density among all wells during the nociceptor culture was followed under the microscope.

Data were acquired using Axion BioSystems’ Integrated Studio (AxIS, version 2.3.1.11) software, and 2 min raw data files were taken for each recording. Channels were sampled simultaneously with a sampling rate of 12.5 kHz/channel, voltage scale of 5.51E-08 V/sample, and digital high pass IIR-filter of 5 Hz. All recordings were conducted at 37 °C, unless otherwise stated. For recordings, a Butterworth band-pass filter (with a high-pass cutoff of 200 Hz and a low-pass cutoff of 3000 Hz) was applied, along with an adaptive threshold spike detector set to detect any amplitude greater than or equal to a multiple of six standard deviation (6xSD) of the estimated noise on each channel. Data from Ctrl1 and Ctrl2 were pooled. The mean firing rate was calculated as the mean firing rate, averaged across only the active electrodes (minimum spike rate for active electrodes was set to 5 spikes/min).

The number of active electrodes and number of spikes were counted in a period of 2 min respectively. Bursts were detected using the Inter-Spike Interval (ISI). Threshold algorithm in AxIS software, with minimum 5 spikes per burst and maximum inter-spike interval set at 100 ms. Lacosamide (500 μM and 50 μM, AdooQ BioScience) was added to nociceptor cultures and recordings were performed following 1 min of incubation.

2.5. Microneurography recordings

C-fiber recordings were performed on the peroneal nerve at the level of the fibular head or the ankle [11], and the data gained before lacosamide treatment were published previously [12]. The method of microneurography has been described in detail elsewhere [13]. When the needle was inserted into a fascicle inside the nerve containing C-fibers, neuronal activity characteristic for unmyelinated C-fibers could be induced by scratching the skin at the dorsum of the foot. Innervation territories of individual C-fibers were then located with transcutaneous electrical stimulation with a pointed electrode (1–20 mA, 0.5 ms). C-fibers were identified by their low conduction velocity (<2 m/s), which was accessed from the latency of an electrically evoked action potential after a rest period of at least 2 min. A pair of thin needles (0.15 mm diameter) was intracutaneously inserted into the innervation territory in a spot with low electrical threshold. Through these needles the C-fibers under observation were continuously stimulated at a low repetition rate (0.125 to 0.5 Hz) via a constant current stimulator (Digitimer DS7, Digitimer Ltd. Hertfordshire UK). Following repetitive electrical stimulation at a fixed frequency from the skin, action potentials of individual C-fibers can be registered with the recording electrode at stable conduction latencies. An increase in the usually stable conduction latency of peripheral C-fibers is observed (“marking”) when the stimulation frequency at the skin is increased or after the afferent fiber has been additionally activated, e.g., by natural stimuli or by spontaneous activity [13]. Marking is due to activity-dependent slowing (ADS) of conduction in C-fibers, e.g. conduction of an action potential renders the axonal membrane of afferent C-fibers less excitable for tens of seconds and thus slows down conduction velocity of subsequent action potentials.

Custom-written Spike2 software and a micro1401 DAC (CED, Cambridge, UK) was used for data acquisition and analyses.
3. Results

3.1. Clinical data

Here, we report on a 69-year-old female Norwegian Caucasian patient suffering from SFN for >10 years. She presents with severe continuous burning pain with varying intensity partially relieved by cooling. Temperature thresholds, as assessed by quantitative sensory testing (QST), are elevated: the heat pain threshold is out of range of the measuring device (>50 °C). Her pain is most severe in the late evenings resulting in insomnia: ratings on a visual analog scale VAS (range 1–10) were around 7.5, with a major impact on quality of life. Sequential oral pharmacological treatment using gabapentin (2100 mg/day), pregabalin (600 mg/day) to the maximum dose had limited effects, which typically faded within months, and were then discontinued due to severe side effects. Amitriptyline (10 mg/day) was discontinued due to side effects and acetylsalicylic acid (160 mg/day) was ineffective. Microneurographic assessment of C-fiber activity of the patient revealed 26.3% of spontaneously active fibers [14]. Healthy age matched controls only display 11.8% [1].

4. Genetic analysis

By whole-exome sequencing of the patient’s iPSCs, we investigated the molecular signature of genetic factors underlying the pain phenotype. The here reported patient carries two rare variants in pain-associated voltage-gated sodium channels. The first variant in Nav1.8 (R923H) is classified as “benign” according to bioinformatics prediction. However, because of its low prevalence (20/177.4, 0.011%), we performed patch-clamp experiments and, as expected, heterologous expression of this variant did not alter channel function. However, because of its low prevalence (20/177.4, 0.011%), we performed patch-clamp experiments and, as expected, heterologous expression of this variant did not alter channel properties (Suppl. Fig. 1). The second variant in Nav1.9 (N1169S) is predicted as “damaging”, however the overall prevalence (83/263.720 alleles, gnomAD browser) suggests that it may not be solely responsible for the SFN phenotype. Moreover, the effect of lacosamide on heterologously expressed Nav1.9 is minor (Suppl. Fig. 2), suggesting that the Nav1.9 variant may not interfere with the response to therapy. This may mean either that the variant is not pathogenic or that it only displays its pathological effect in human, or even the patient’s specific cellular background.

In summary, we have no indication that the pain phenotype results from a monogenic disorder.

5. iPSC derived nociceptors

In order to identify potential treatment options for the patient, we reprogrammed the patient’s fibroblasts into iPSC and differentiated these into peripheral sensory neurons using a small molecule approach (Fig. 1, [15]). iPSC derived sensory neurons expressed markers for nociceptors, such as TRPV1, and the sodium channels Nav1.7, Nav1.8 and Nav1.9 shown in mRNA expression levels and protein immunostainings ([5], Fig. 2). Cell morphology and expression of nociceptor markers were unchanged compared to two age matched controls (Fig. 2): The amount of TRPV1+ cells out of TUJ1+ cells was 92.6% for CtrlS and 90.2% for SFN, amount of Nav1.9+ cells out of Peripherin+ cells in %: CtrlS: 95.6, SFN: 100.0.

6. Patch-Clamp and microelectrode arrays

To assess the intrinsic excitability of iPSC derived sensory neurons we performed whole-cell current clamp analysis. Whereas the action potential threshold remained unaltered in SFN derived nociceptors (Fig. 3, P > 0.05; one-way ANOVA), we detected a larger number of spontaneously active SFN sensory neurons (19.4%) compared to controls (1.8%) (Fig. 3, P = 0.0002; logistic regression model), mirroring the findings of microneurography [12].

7. Treatment of patient

To assess the overall cellular excitability in larger cell populations, we seeded patient- and control-derived nociceptors onto multielectrode array (MEA) chips and assessed their spontaneous activity (Fig. 4A, B). Patient-derived nociceptors displayed a significantly increased excitability: more spikes (Ctrl: 380.6 ± 207.9, SFN: 1206.0 ± 177.4, P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA) and more active electrodes (Ctrl: 2.25 ± 1.01, SFN: 8.5 ± 2.23, P < 0.05, students t-test) were detected (Fig. 4C). The burst frequency (in Hz: Ctrl: 0.008 ± 0.000, SFN: 0.060 ± 0.021, P > 0.05, students t-test) and burst duration (in s: Ctrl: 0.271 ± 0.007, SFN: 0.327 ± 0.0571, P < 0.05, students t-test) were unchanged between the groups, suggesting that more patient neurons fired spontaneously and more frequently, but with a similar bursting behavior as neurons from controls. The FDA approved drug lacosamide was never used as a treatment by the patient reported here in contrast to other more frequently used medications for neuropathic pain. In MEA recordings of patient-derived nociceptors, lacosamide strongly reduced the number of spikes, but did not have an effect on controls (Fig. 4C, Ctrl: 282.8 ± 184.2, SFN: 480.4 ± 310.2, P < 0.05, students t-test), indicating that pathological hyperactivity was impaired, but the general action potential generation was not inhibited.
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medication, causing extreme sleepiness during daytime. Therefore, she interrupted the therapy during the summer for 2.5 months and the pain sensations reoccurred (VAS 7.5). Re-start of lacosamide treatment in fall again dramatically decreased the patient’s pain to VAS 1.5 (Fig. 5A). The symptoms were still controlled after 6 months of re-start of treatment, which suggests that the treatment had effects, which outlast a potential placebo effect.

8. Microneurography

To assess if the clinical response was based on central inhibition or resulted from treating the hyperexcitability of the patient’s C-fibers, we used microneurography while the patient was under lacosamide treatment with low pain levels. The proportion of spontaneously active C-fibers was significantly reduced to 7% (from 26.3% reported previously [1,14]) (Fig. 5B, C), which represents a range comparable to healthy age-matched volunteers [15]. Not only did lacosamide treatment reduce pain, it also improved the patient’s sensitivity to noxious heat: she now had a heat pain threshold of 48.8 °C, which was well measurable in QST. This shows that lacosamide, which was individually identified as a treatment option on iPSC-derived nociceptors in-vitro, specifically modified the patient’s peripheral neurons to revert pathology and to improve their physiological function.

9. Discussion

We identified a potent pain treatment for a patient with SFN using patient-derived sensory neurons in an in-vitro testing system. Translating our findings from stem cell-derived sensory neurons in the dish directly to the patient tremendously alleviated her pain. Remarkably, lacosamide also normalized the firing pattern of the patient’s C-fibers and reduced her heat pain thresholds, strongly suggesting that the treatment effect was not due to impaired central pain processing or a placebo effect, but rather a specific modification of the function of the peripheral nociceptors.

In addition to the subjective pain ratings of the patient, the objective findings in microneurographic recordings of peripheral neurons support the assumption that the treatment success is not merely based on placebo effects. The number of spontaneously active nociceptors was reduced during lacosamide treatment to a level which is found in healthy age matched controls [15] proving reduced pathological neuronal activity in the peripheral nerve innervating the painful area. However, an additional placebo effect on the tremendously reduced pain ratings of the patient during lacosamide treatment cannot be excluded and changes in central inhibition might also occur and influence pain perception.

SFN causes high morbidity with disabling symptoms and impact on quality of life [16]. Treatment of the chronic burning pain is a tremendous challenge for patients and clinicians. Specifically, patients easily lose motivation after trying various compounds until eventually one pain reducing medication can be accepted when pain relief and side effects are in a bearable balance. Using patient’s iPSCs and thereof derived sensory neurons, we show the novel possibility to investigate the individual, patient-specific neuronal response and to test FDA approved compounds for their efficacy. This approach allows identification of a medication that is precisely tailored for the patient and is likely to work best for this specific patient, even if in off-label use.

Lacosamide is used as an antiepileptic drug, which is tested in ongoing clinical trials with SFN patients [8], and it has also come into consideration as treatment for general neuropathic pain [17]. It enhances slow inactivation of voltage-gated sodium channels and interacts with the intracellular protein collapsin-response mediator protein-2 (CRMP-2, [18,19]). It was shown to be effective on the peripheral sodium channels Nav1.7 and Nav1.3, which may be the mechanism underlying its efficient pain treatment in the here presented patient. Lacosamide also inhibits Nav1.6, which is expressed in the central nervous system, and which may account for the observed side effects [19] [7], [20], [6].

A meta-analysis of antiepileptic drugs for the treatment of neuropathic pain showed no convincing results in general, and even identified evidence for a lack of effect of lacosamide in the treatment of neuropathic pain [21]. Thus, lacosamide is rarely used off label against neuropathic pain and the patient of this study would not have been treated with this drug without the observed effects in MEA recordings of patient iPSC-derived nociceptors. Side effects of lacosamide are typical for antiepileptic drugs, such as dizziness and in higher doses vestibular-cerebellar dysfunction [22]. For the here reported patient these effects added to those of her anti-allergic treatment and she had to discontinue lacosamide. In addition, during treatment with lacosamide on its own, she suffered from side effects (sleepiness) which she tolerated due to the impressive effect on her pain ratings. To save patients from continuous trial and error with drugs with limited pain alleviation but severe side effects, an individual patient-specific in-vitro test system is highly desirable, which can be used to guide identification of efficient off-target drugs.

Oral doses of lacosamide used for the treatment of seizures and clinical trials evaluating this drug for neuropathic pain range between 200 and 600 mg per day. This drug is administered twice daily after titration. Reported plasma levels are in the range of 5–12.5 μg/ml [23,24] and the recommended therapeutic range is 5–15 μg/ml [25] corresponding to a concentration of about 20–60 μM. In this case report we focused on a potential therapeutic that mechanistically differed from the drugs that had no benefit for the patient. We therefore tested patient derived sensory neurons for their response to lacosamide, an FDA approved compound known to block voltage-gated sodium channels. We used 500 μM, as this concentration was previously used in in vitro studies [26], and 50 μM, which corresponds to the plasma concentration in patients [25]. The concentration at the side of action is unknown and beyond the reach of most experiments. It is likely to differ from plasma levels and for lacosamide as functionalized aminoacaid uptake via transporters could be possible.

In a previous attempt to use the stem-cell system to identify treatment, a drug was tested in sensory neurons derived from patients suffering from the inherited pain syndrome erythromelalgia [27]. Using patch-clamp the study revealed that the tested drug leads to an increase in heat-induced rheobase in the investigated single cells. Administered to five different patients with different mutations, the drug revealed a small, but significant alleviation of pain after 4-5 h. Our approach fundamentally differs from the reported one, as we investigate a patient without a clearly disease causing genetic mutation, and we tested and identified a drug, which is currently in clinical use with well-known effects and side effects. Additionally, using the MEA approach we assess the activity of a whole group of neurons, and need not rely on selecting representative single cells for their assessment in whole-cell patch-clamp.

Patient derived nociceptors fired more frequently and exhibited more active electrodos, but the burst frequency and burst duration were comparable between patient and controls. Thus, the overall bursting behavior may have not changed, but the conditions leading to the bursting may be affected by the patient’s genetics. Although we did not identify a single point mutation or variant, which is causative for the patient’s pain, the patient derived nociceptors mirror the spontaneous activity of the nerve fibers observed in microneurography recordings. Thus, we suggest, that iPSC derived nociceptors, which contain the complete genetic composition of the patient, are sufficient in this case to mimic the patient’s phenotype in the dish.

When adding lacosamide to the MEA recordings we observed a reduction of number of spikes only in the patient derived sensory neurons. Control neurons seemed not to be affected (Fig. 4). This suggests that lacosamide mainly acts on pathological neuronal activity in the patient’s hyperactive neurons and does not hamper the normal nociceptive function.

Our findings show that our approach is not only useful in monogenic pain disorders but can also be transferred to patients who are suffering...
from a polygenic, sporadic, or more complex pain syndrome. This is of great relevance because a large part of SFN patients cannot be diagnosed with a genetic cause for their pain disease and thus it is more difficult to find a suitable treatment option based on mechanistic insight in the disease-causing factors.

While large population-based randomized trials render important information on the average treatment effect, the individual benefit may often be very different. Large randomized studies tell only little about individual treatment effects. Thus, to date, we only have the means of an n-of-1 trial to identify whether an existing treatment is effective in a specific patient or not.

Treatment of pain is often very complex and needs specifically tailored treatment for the patients. Reaction to drugs varies largely even within one type of pain, such as neuropathies (e.g. SFN). During the progress of their chronic pain state, patients often receive three or more compounds with limited or none effect and/or considerable side effects. The patient of this study is a good example for such a “patient career”. This strains the patient-caretaker relationship, which is crucial for successful treatment of chronic pain states. Thus, finding a means to preclinically identify drugs that have a higher likelihood to work in specific patients, is likely to increase the overall effectiveness and outcome of treating chronic pain patients.

Thus, although it may seem unconventional at first sight, in patients with chronic pain conditions, n-of-1 trials are currently the best way we have to identify effective personalized treatment. Here we presented a promising iPSC-based tool to enhance and support single-patient-trials for a more effective precision treatment of chronic neuropathic pain.

Evidence before this study

Authors consulted PubMed, Web of Science and several international conferences for research results on pain and human stem cell based disease modeling. Specifically they recruited information on ongoing research on human pluripotent stem cell, protocols to derive sensory neurons thereof and to establish stem cell based models for pain. Specific focus was put on neurophysiological in vitro methods including multi-electrode (MEA) arrays used to analyse these sensory neurons and methods used to evaluate microneurography recordings of C-fibers in pain patients. This search was performed from 2011 until 2017. The search terms used were: neuropathic pain, iPSC derived...
nociceptors, MEA, microneurography, intrinsic excitability, SFN, peripheral neuron/nociceptor differentiation, spontaneous activity, disease modeling, personalized medicine. Evidence published in high quality journals was considered. This search revealed that it is possible to derive sensory neurons from patients suffering from inherited neuropathic pain using induced pluripotent stem cells. These cells were reported to be analyzed by molecular biological techniques and conventional electrophysiology, but not by MEA to test for the effects of drugs.

**Added value of this study**

The study reported here is the first proof of concept that a human stem cell derived in vitro model for neuropathic pain with no obvious genetic cause i) can mimic pathologic intrinsic hyperactivity of sensory neurons of the pain patient in vitro and ii) can be used to identify individualized, personalized treatmet for this specific patient. Additionally, the study shows how these effects can be objectively evaluated using microneurography.

**Implications of all the available evidence**

The presented study demonstrates an approach to develop a standard-ized companion diagnostics for the individualized treatment of neuro-pathic pain patients by use of a stem cell based disease model.
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**Fig. 5.** Microneurography reveals effective, individualized treatment of the patient. A: Pain ratings of the SFN patient with and without lacosamide treatment. Pain ratings were considerably reduced during lacosamide treatment. Lacosamide treatment was interrupted due to side effects in combination with anti-allergic medication during summer and the same pain level was reported as before lacosamide treatment. After the end of allergic season lacosamide treatment again reduced the pain rating substantially. B: Example traces of C-fiber activity during microneurography recordings. Depicted are response latencies to electrical test pulses (20 pulses every 8 s, 20 pulses every 4 s, 30 pulses every 2 s and then pulses every 4 s): each black point and triangle represents the latency of one electrically induced action potential, symbols arranged in a vertical line (means same response latency) indicate that the respective action potentials belong to one single C-fiber; left panel: irregular latency responses of two spontaneously active C-fibers before lacosamide, black arrows point to latency shifts indicating spontaneous activity between the electrically induced action potentials, the black inset shows the original recording traces from the C-fiber responses depicted in the black square besides. Action potentials are marked in red. Right panel: regular C-fibers responses to electrical test pulses of three C-fibers during lacosamide treatment without spontaneous activity. C: Microneurography recordings show a large proportion of spontaneously active C-fibers (26%) before lacosamide treatment, which considerably decreased during treatment to 7% which is in the upper range of age matched healthy controls. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
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